

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/980,593		12/04/2001	Howard Tucker	P 0284115	6323	
909	7590	10/03/2003		EXAMINER		
PILLSBU	RY WIN	ΓHROP, LLP	HABTE, KAHSAY			
P.O. BOX 1 MCLEAN,		02	ART UNIT PAPER NUMI			
				1624	12	
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	19	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
				TUCKER, HOWARD					
	_	09/980,593		Art Unit					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	_						
		Kahsay Habte, I	Ph. D.	orrespond nce as	dress				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cove	r sneet with the o	0,,000					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM									
THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutably received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory mid will apply and will expire	rever, may a reply be tir nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this () (35 U.S.C. § 133).	aly. communication.				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	September 2003							
1)⊠ 2a)□	This action is FINAI 2b) T	This action is non-	final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims	lication							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-6 and 8 is/are pending in the app	roup from conside	eration		•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	ISWIT HOLLI COLISION	Station.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		romont						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requi	rement.						
	tion Papers	iner.							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	cepted or b) obj	ected to by the Ex	caminer.					
10)∟	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85	a).				
	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ appr	oved b) disapp	proved by the Exa	miner.				
11)	The proposed drawing corrected med en	n reply to this Office	action.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).					
		oign phiomy and	-						
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ants have heen r	eceived.		• .				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been r	eceived in Applic	cation No.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certifie	d copies not rece	eived.	= • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provis	ional application).				
1	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	e provisional appl	ication has been	received.					
Attachn									
1) 🗆 N	iotice of References Cited (PTO-892) lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) *	Interview Sum Notice of Inform Other:	nmary (PTO-413) Pap mal Patent Applicatio	er No(s) on (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-6 and 8 are pending.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed 9/22/03 in response to the previous Office Action (Paper No. 11) is acknowledged. Rejection of claims 1-6, 8 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph (Paper No. 11, paragraphs 4a-4c) have been obviated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention: In claim 1, the linker "P" in formula I is not clear for two reasons:

- a. P has two sets of definitions. The first definition is the narrow and recites: "B is represents a pyridyl ring optionally, linked to ring A by C1-4 alkyl or C1-4 alkoxy chain linking the 2-position of ring B with the carbon atom alpha to X2" and the second set of the definition is broader "P is (CH2)n- wherein n = 0, 1,2, or P is an alkene or alkyne chain....up to C9 heteroalkyl." Which definition is applicant's intention?
- b. The second problem has to do with the linker P. Is P attached to the N of the piperazinyl ring or is it attached to the carbon of the piperazinyl ring? Is P floating on

Application/Control Number: 09/980,593

Art Unit: 1624

rings A and B? Note that if applicants intend the narrower definition, then P can't float, but if applicants intend the broader definition then P can float.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.

Examiner Art Unit 1624

KH October 1, 2003 Mark L. Berch Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624